

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/521,172	01/14/2005	Emil Ackermann	ACKERMANN7	2853	
1444	7590 05/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			MAY, ROBERT J		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-5303	2875	<del></del> -		
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/200	DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	19				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/521,172	ACKERMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert May	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nuary 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in Received i	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/05.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/521,172

Art Unit: 2875

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2,4, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adeler in view of Rippin.

Regarding Claim 1, Adeler discloses in Figure 1, a flashlight in which a lighting element 1 is integrated into a housing 5 in which control electronics (3, 4) being a magnetic switch and magnet, and an energy store 2 that is rechargeable (Pg 1, line 6) and the housing is shown as a one piece cast part in the form of a monoblock in Figure 1. Adeler fails to disclose a light collector, however, Rippin discloses a flashlight having solar cells for charging the batteries (Col 1, lines 32-34) and furthermore, the solar cells are integrated into the handle grip for charging the batteries. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a flashlight as disclosed by Adeler and include the light collector of Rippin integrated into the body or handle as disclosed by Rippin for charging the batteries.

Regarding Claim 2, Adeler discloses, on Pg 2, lines 23-25, the housing containing a plastic material.

Regarding Claim 4, Adeler discloses in Figure 1, the housing being of a square design.

Regarding Claim 10, Adeler fails to disclose an infrared or ultraviolet sensor. However, Rippin discloses a flashlight utilizing a photovoltaic cell, which senses infrared radiation to produce a voltage to charge the batteries. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the rechargeable flashlight of Adeler with the photovoltaic sensor of Rippin so as to produce a voltage when the sensor senses infrared radiation to charge the batteries of Adeler.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adeler in view of Rippin as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gallagher (US Pat 1,436,340). Adeler fails to disclose the housing surrounded by a hollow shell of metal, but Gallagher discloses a flashlight of a usual construction being a metal housing (Pg 1, lines 90-91) which is generally known in the art as a conventional sturdy material for flashlight housings for protecting the inner components of the flashlight. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flashlight housing of Adeler with the hollow metal shell of Gallagher to protect the inner components of the flashlight.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adeler in view of Rippin as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Reynolds (US Pat

Page 4

D389, 258). Adeler in view of Rippin fails to explicitly disclose the light collector extending over a substantial portion of the side face of the housing. However, Reynolds discloses a solar powered flashlight with a light collector (not labeled) clearly extending over a substantial portion of a side face of the housing as shown in Figures 3-4 in order to capture as much ambient light or radiation as possible. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a light collector as taught by Gallagher extending throughout a substantial portion of a side face to collect as much available ambient light or radiation as possible.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adeler in view of Rippin as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Parsons (US Pat 6,190,018). Adeler fails to disclose the pocket flashlight as being a keyring pendant. However, Parsons discloses a flashlight adapted for being used as a keyring pendant or attachment (Col 5, lines 25-30) so that the flashlight can be quickly and easily retrieved and operated (Col 5, lines 40-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the flashlight of Adeler with the keyring extension or pendant as taught by Parsons so that the flashlight can be easily retrieved and operated.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adeler in view of Rippin and Parsons as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Maglica (US Pat 4,864,474). Adeler in view of Rippin and Parsons fails to disclose the flashlight

as recited in claim 6 with a looped cord having a first end and a first loop for fastening to the flashlight and a second end and a second loop for fastening through a hole in a key. Maglica however, discloses in Figure 10 a flashlight with a cord 99 with two loops for fastening the first loop to the flashlight and the second loop that can be attached through a through hole of a key so as to provide simultaneous illumination of a lock while holding the flashlight in one hand. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the pocket flashlight of Adeler with the cord of Maglica so as to provide simultaneous illumination of a lock while holding the flashlight in one hand.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adeler in view of Rippin as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bebenroth. Adeler discloses the light source as being a light emitting diode (Page 2, line 18), but fails to explicitly disclose the diode as being a white diode. However, Bebenroth discloses a circuit arrangement for operating a lamp wherein the lamp is a white light emitting diode because white light emitting diodes can replace other lamps particularly in flashlights (Col 6, lines 9-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the light emitting diodes of Adeler with the white light emitting diodes of Bebenroth because white light emitting diodes are suitable replacements for other lamps particularly in flashlights.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adeler in view of Rippin as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nishizawa (US Pat 4,831,504). Adeler fails to disclose the pocket light wherein a transmitter is arranged in the housing. Nishizawa however, discloses in Figures 10a,b,c, a key that transmits a light at a predetermined wavelength and wave form in order to coincide with a signal stored in the object having a lock function such as a door, a safe, a lock or the like (Col 6, lines 15-22) so that the lock can be engaged remotely. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the pocket light of Adeler with the transmitter of Nishizawa so that a lock can be engaged remotely.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Uden (DE 4141270 A1) and Moreau (FR 2597537A) disclose a key, which emits infrared light for engaging a lock remotely.

Chun (D475, 149 S) discloses a solar powered flashlight.

Frost (US Pat 5,065,291) discloses a solar powered marking light wherein the light collector substantially files a lateral side of the apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert May whose telephone number is (571) 272-5919. The examiner can normally be reached between 9 am– 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval PAIR system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM

5/9/06

RENEE LUEBKE PRIMARY EXAMINER